



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE                 |                      | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.    | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/619,685 07/15/2003                       |                      | Hirohiko Hirochika   | YAMZ 2 00005-2         | 8565             |  |
| 75  | 90 01/12/2006        |                      | EXAM                   | INER             |  |
| Richard M. Kl                               | lein, Esq.           | KUBELIK, ANNE R      |                        |                  |  |
| Fay, Sharpe, Fa                             | gan, Minnich & McKee | LLP                  |                        |                  |  |
| 7th Floor                                   |                      |                      | ART UNIT               | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
| 1100 Superior Avenue<br>Cleveland, OH 44114 |                      | 1638                 |                        |                  |  |
|   |                      |                      | DATE MAILED: 01/12/200 | 6                |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)                                       |                              |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action  | 10/619,685  | HIROCHIKA ET AL.                                   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief   | Examiner  | Art Unit   |                              |  |  |  |  |
|  | Anne R. Kubelik   | 1638   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ars on the cover sheet with the   | correspondence add                                 | ress                         |  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 27 December 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THI  | S APPLICATION IN CONDITION I  | FOR ALLOWANCE.                                     |                              |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:</li> </ol>   | owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep | affidavit, or other evide<br>compliance with 37 (  | ence, which<br>CFR 41.31; or |  |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of  |   |  |                              |  |  |  |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th  | isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in that<br>an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o                  | ne final rejection, whicheven the final rejection. | er is later. In no           |  |  |  |  |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)  | ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE F   |  | D WITHIN TWO                 |  |  |  |  |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f   |   | -> - 111   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL |   |  |                              |  |  |  |  |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS   | extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)  | ), to avoid dismissal o                            | of the appeal.               |  |  |  |  |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  | but prior to the date of filing a brie  | ef, will <u>not</u> be entered                     | because                      |  |  |  |  |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further co  | nsideration and/or search (see NC   | OTE below);  |                              |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for</li> </ul>  |   |  |                              |  |  |  |  |
| appeal; and/or   | tter form for appeal by materially r  | educing or simplifying                             | the issues for               |  |  |  |  |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  |   |  |                              |  |  |  |  |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).   |   |  |                              |  |  |  |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.   | 121. See attached Notice of Non-C   | ompliant Amendment                                 | (PTOL-324).                  |  |  |  |  |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s  |   |  |                              |  |  |  |  |
| the non-allowable claim(s).  |   |  |                              |  |  |  |  |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:  | ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☒ vivided below or appended.   | vill be entered and an                             | explanation of               |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected to:  |   | •  |                              |  |  |  |  |

## Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \_ AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

| 8. C | $\Box$ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered |
|------|--|
|      | because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary                        |
|      | and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).  |

- 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
- 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

## REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

| 11. | $\boxtimes$ | The request for reconsideration has been | considered | but does NOT | place the application | in condition for | allowance bec | ause |
|-----|-------------|--|------------|--------------|-----------------------|------------------|---------------|------|
|     |             | See Continuation Sheet.                  |            |              | ,                     |                  |               |      |

| 12. | $\Box$ | Note the attached | Information | Disclosure | Statement(s). | (PTO/SB/08 d | or PTO-1449 | 9) Paper | No(s). |  |
|-----|--------|-------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|--------------|-------------|----------|--------|--|
|     |        | O.11              |             |            |               |              |             | • •      | . , .  |  |

| 3    | Oth  | er.  |  |
|------|------|------|--|
| U. 1 | _ UI | ICI. |  |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

112, 1st, enablement (not written description, as stated by Applicant): Applicant urges that one of skill in the art would be able to obtain the invention by using transposon Tos17, which was well-known in the art. Applicant also urges that the Office action agreed that the specification teaches how to use the invention via disruption of SEQ ID NO:1 in rice. This is not found persuasive because the disruption used a transposon, not the claimed nucleic acid; the specification does not teach how to use the claimed nucleic acid. Applicant urges that Harevan teaches that overexpression of knotted-1 has no effect in maize. This is not found persuasive because the instant specification does not teach the effect of overexpression of the claimed nucleic acid in rice or any other plant; such overexpression may also have no effect, thus providing no utility. Applicant urges that overexpression is not the utility of the present invention - disruption is. This is not found persuasive because the specification does not teach targeted disruption of the claimed sequence in rice or any other plant, and the method the specification does teach does not use the claimed nucleic acid - it uses a transposon. Applicant urges that Schneeberger and Hareven provide knowledge of how to use the claimed invention because they show that disruption of the genes they discuss cause a change in phenotype of the plant. This is not found persuasive because the instant specification does not teach how to use the claimed nucleic acid in a method of disruption of the gene. Applicant urges that the claims are now limited to rice plants. This is not found persuasive because the claims are drawn to a nucleic acid, not a rice plant.